

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JW GEIGER,)	Case No. 2:22-CV-00043 JAM-DB
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	<u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u>
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
YAPHETTE GEIGER, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 2:22-CV-00045 MCE-DB
)	
v.)	
)	
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under

1 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are
2 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation
3 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this
4 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and
5 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated
7 2:22-CV-00045 MCE-DB be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez and
8 Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes for all further proceedings, and
9 any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby
10 VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
11 reassigned case shall be shown as 2:22-CV-00045 JAM-DB.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
13 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
14 compensate for this reassignment.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 Dated: February 4, 2022

/s/ John A. Mendez

18 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28